**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-12, 23 and 25-36 are pending in the present application.

Claims 13-22 and 24 have been canceled without prejudice.

Claims 25-36 are hereby withdrawn without *prejudice*.

Claims 1-12 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Claims 1-12 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Applicants note with thanks the Examiner's response of 17 December 2008. Applicants further note with thanks the Examiner's withdrawal of the previous objection to the Specification. In addition, Applicants note the Examiner's withdrawal of Claims 25-36.

Applicants respectfully submit that all of Applicants arguments and amendments are without *prejudice* or *disclaimer*. Applicants further respectfully submit that by not responding to additional statements made by the Examiner, Applicants do not acquiesce to the Examiner's additional statements. In addition, Applicants reserve the right to pursue broader claims in this Application or through a continuation patent application. No new matter has been added.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101:** 

Claims 1-12 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 as allegedly being directed towards non-statutory subject matter. In particular, the Examiner states:

Claims 1-12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Pursuant to the May 15, 2008 Memorandum from John J. Love, Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, United States Patent and Trademark Office (memo), the claims 1-12 and 23 are nonstatutory as the claims are not tied to a statutory class nor transform the underlying subject matter to a different state or thing. Further, the claims may be practiced by hand. Applicant's September 12, 2008 Amendment does not obviate the rejection. No computer is claimed in the body of the claim. A server, in broad scope and content, may be no more than an individual.

(17 December 2008 Final Office Action, pages 2-3). Applicants respectfully disagree and

respectfully traverse the Examiner's assertion that a "server, in broad scope and content, may be no

more than an individual." (17 December 2008 Final Office Action, pages 2-3).

Nonetheless, Applicants have amended independent Claims 1 and 23 to expedite

prosecution of the subject Application. By making these amendments, Applicants do not indicate

agreement with or acquiescence to the Examiner's position with respect to the rejections of these

claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as set forth in the Office Action. In addition, Applicants respectfully

submit that the amendments to independent Claims 1 and 23 are not necessitated by any prior art

and are unrelated to the patentability of the present invention.

For at least these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-12 and 23 are

directed to statutory subject matter. Applicants further respectfully submit that Claims 1-12 and 23

are in condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of

Claims 1-12 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 be reconsidered and that Claims 1-12 and 23 be allowed.

In addition, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner call the undersigned at (480)

830-2700, if the Examiner has additional comments or suggestions to the 35 U.S.C. § 101 rejection

of the subject Application or if the Examiner believes it would be easier to discuss the 35 U.S.C. §

101 rejection over the telephone.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112:** 

Claims 1-12 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicants regard as the invention. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Nonetheless, Applicants have amended Applicants claims to expedite prosecution of this

Application and to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicants regard as the invention. By making these amendments, Applicants do not indicate

agreement with or acquiescence to the Examiner's position with respect to the rejections of these

claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as set forth in the Office Action. In addition, as noted above,

Amendment Attorney Docket No. 020431.1363 Serial No. 10/823,056 Page 13 of 15 Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments to independent Claims 1 and 23 are not

necessitated by any prior art and are unrelated to the patentability of the present invention.

Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1-12 and 23 are considered to be in full

compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. Applicants further respectfully submit that

Claims 1-12 and 23 are in condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that

the rejection of Claims 1-12 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 be reconsidered and that claims 1-12 and

23 be allowed.

Amendment Attorney Docket No. 020431.1363 Serial No. 10/823,056 Page 14 of 15 **CONCLUSION:** 

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application is considered to be in

condition for allowance, and early reconsideration and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly

solicited.

A Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is being filed electronically herewith to

facilitate the processing of this deposit account authorization. The Director is hereby authorized

to charge the \$810.00 RCE fee, to Deposit Account No. 500777. Although Applicants believe no

additional fees are deemed to be necessary; the undersigned hereby authorizes the Director to

charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments, to **Deposit Account** 

No. 500777. If an extension of time is necessary for allowing this Response to be timely filed, this

document is to be construed as also constituting a Petition for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. §

1.136(a) to the extent necessary. Any fee required for such Petition for Extension of Time should

be charged to **Deposit Account No. 500777**.

Please link this application to Customer No. 53184 so that its status may be checked

via the PAIR System.

Respectfully submitted,

17 March 2009

Date

/Steven J. Laureanti/signed

Steven J. Laureanti, Registration No. 50,274

BOOTH UDALL, PLC

1155 W. Rio Salado Pkwy., Ste. 101

Tempe AZ, 85281

214.636.0799 (mobile)

480.830.2700 (office)

480.830.2717 (fax)

steven@boothudall.com

**CUSTOMER NO. 53184** 

Amendment Attorney Docket No. 020431.1363 Serial No. 10/823,056 Page 15 of 15